REFERENCES

- 1 Nes, W R and McKean, M L (1977) Biochemistry of Steroids and Other Isopentenoids University Park Press, Baltimore
- 2 Nes, W R, Krevitz, K, Joseph, J, Nes, W D, Harris, B, Gibbons, G F and Patterson, G W (1977) Lipids 12, 511
- 3 Hanna, R (1964) Lloydia 27, 40
- 4 Joshi, B S and Kamat, V N (1972) Indian J Chem 10, 771
- 5 Krishnaswamy, N R and Prasanna, S (1975) Phytochemistry 14, 1663
- 6 Garg, V K and Nes, W R (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2925
- 7 Manzoor-1-Khuda, M (1966) Tetrahedron 22, 2377
- 8 Bolger, L M, Rees, H H, Ghisalberti, E L, Goad, L J and Goodwin, T W (1970) Tetrahedron Letters 3043
- 9 Bolger, L M, Rees, H H, Ghisalberti, E L, Goad, L J and Goodwin, T W (1970) Biochem J 118, 197
- 10 Subramanian, S S, Nair, A G R and Vedantham, T N C (1973) Phytochemistry 12, 2078
- 11 Joshi, K. C., Singh, P. and Mehra, A. (1979) Planta Med. 37, 64
- 12 Joshi, K. C., Prakash, L. and Shah, R. K. (1977) J. Indian Chem. Soc. 54, 1104
- 13 Prakash, L and Garg, G (1980) Pharmazie 35, 500

- 14 Cattel, L, Balliano, G and Caputo, O (1980) Planta Med 37, 264
- 15 Sucrow, W, Slopianka, M and Kircher, H W (1976) Phytochemistry 15, 1533
- 16 Sucrow, W and Reimerdes, A (1968) Z Naturforsch 23b, 42
- 17 Inda, T, Jeong, T M, Tamura, T and Matsumoto, T (1980) Lipids 15, 66
- 18 Itoh, T, Kikuchi, Y, Tamura, T and Matsumoto, T (1981)

 Phytochemistry 20, 761
- 19 Itoh, T., Yoshida, K., Tamura, T. and Matsumoto, T. (1982)

 Phytochemistry 21, 727
- 20 Garg, V K and Nes, W R (1984) Phytochemistry 23, 2919
- 21 Sinha, N K, Pandey, V B, Shah, A H and Dasgupta, B (1980) Indian J Pharm Sci 42, 96
- 22 Abdul-Alım, M A (1971) Planta Med 19, 318
- 23 Abdul-Alim, M A, Aboulezz, A F, Fayez, M B E and Seedhom, A E (1966) Z Analyt Chem 217, 268
- 24 McKean, M L and Nes, W R (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 683
- 25 Nes, W R (1977) Advances in Lipid Research (Kritchevsky, D and Paoletti, R, eds) Vol 15, p 233 Academic Press, New York
- 26 Balliano, G, Caputo, O, Viola, F, Delprino, L and Cattel, L (1983) Lipids 18, 302

Phytochemistry, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. 1097-1099, 1985 Printed in Great Britain 0031-9422/85 \$3 00+0 00 © 1985 Pergamon Press Ltd

ON THE REPORTED OCCURRENCE OF A FUSICOCCIN CONJUGATE IN MAIZE COBS

Patrizia Aducci, Alessandro Ballio, Antonio Evidente*, Rodolfo Federico, Ignazio Iasiello*, Mauro Marra and Giacomino Randazzo*

Gruppo di Chimica Biologica e Strutturistica Chimica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", piazzale A Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy, *Dipartimento di Chimica Organica e Biologica, Università di Napoli, via Mezzocannone 16, 80134 Napoli, Italy

(Received 30 July 1984)

Key Word Index—Zea mays, Gramineae, cobs, fusicoccin, phytotoxin, plant growth substance

Abstract—The occurrence in immature maize cobs of a fusicoccin derivative, reported in 1980 by Russian workers, could not be confirmed Extraction and fractionation procedures were identical to those used by the Soviet authors and the analysis of the fractions mainly relied on a very sensitive and specific radioimmunoassay Possible reasons for these contradictory results are discussed

INTRODUCTION

The fungal metabolite fusicoccin (1) has many biological activities typical of plant hormones [1], but unlike them its effects are tissue non-specific and its metabolic stability in plant tissues is remarkably high [2] Furthermore, in contrast with what is expected for a phytohormone, its distribution in nature appears to be very restricted [3] Nonetheless, the number of plants responding in vivo to, and binding in vitro with fusicoccin is quite high [3],

suggesting that one or more metabolites capable of interacting with fusicoccin-binding sites are present in higher plants [4] This has found experimental support from investigations which are still in progress in our group [3]

In 1980 Muromtzev et al [5] reported the occurrence in immature maize cobs of a fusicoccin-like compound and proposed that fusicoccins are a new type of phytohormone Thus, for the first time a fusicoccin was detected in

1098 Short Reports

$$R^{1}O \stackrel{20}{Me} CH_{2}R^{2}$$

$$HO \stackrel{17}{Me} \frac{1}{11} \frac{12}{11}$$

$$H \stackrel{17}{Me} OH$$

$$CH_{2}OMe$$

$$1 R^{1} = HO \stackrel{2'}{\longrightarrow} ACO \stackrel{4'}{\longrightarrow} CH_{2}OC(Me)_{2}CH = CH_{2}$$

$$R^{2} = OAc$$

$$R^{2} = OH$$

3

higher plants, other than almond or peach infected by the pathogenic fungus Fusicoccum amygdali Del [6], a finding that raises the question of a physiological role of fusicoccin not considered before In particular, the observation that the fusicoccin-like compound is devoid of biological activity but becomes active on acid hydrolysis is suggestive of a modulation mechanism for fusicoccin activity

We decided to repeat the work of the Soviet authors with the purposes of elucidating the structure of the fusicoccin-like substance and of investigating its biological properties in vitro and in vivo. The decision was also influenced by the inconsistency of some chemical results reported in the Soviet article with our long experience with the chemical reactivity of fusicoccin and its derivatives. A preliminary report of this work has been published [7]

RESULTS

The acetone extract of immature maize cobs was prepared and processed according to the flow sheet of Muromtzev et al [5] to afford seven fractions after silica gel chromatography. The fractions were hydrolysed and analysed by TLC under conditions identical to those used by the Soviet authors. While some of the hydrolysed fractions contained sitosterol, as reported in ref [5], none showed a spot attributable to fusicoccin or to products arising from fusicoccin on acid hydrolysis. When the hydrolysis was repeated on the fractions supplemented

with authentic fusicoccin the analysis by TLC showed the total disappearance of this compound and the appearance of spots corresponding to the fusicoccin aglycone (2) and to its tetracyclic isomer (3) [8] Fusicoccin added to the fractions, both before and after acid hydrolysis, could be easily detected by TLC and had the same R_f as the authentic compound

In order to increase the analytical sensitivity a radioim-munoassay (RIA), which can quantitatively detect picomoles of fusicoccin and its derivatives [9], was used Again, negative results were consistently obtained when the crude extract of maize cobs and the fractions prepared from it by column chromatography were assayed by this method, both before and after hydrolysis Authentic fusicoccin added to the fractions was fully recovered by RIA, after hydrolysis the fusicoccin supplemented fractions still gave a positive result, in agreement with the presence of 2 and 3, both of which are recognized by the antibodies [10]

DISCUSSION

The paper of Muromtzev et al [5] contains some data which can not be reconciled with our results. The Soviet authors claim that an uncharacterized substance present in one of the fractions obtained by column chromatography of maize cobs extract affords on acid hydrolysis sitosterol and a bioactive product which behaves as fusicoccin on TLC run with three different solvent systems, and shows a mass spectrometric fragmentation

Short Reports 1099

pattern with peaks characteristic of the monoacetylated aglycone moiety of fusicoccin. The latter result is confirmed by the mass spectra of the deuteroacetyl and trimethylsilyl derivatives of the acid hydrolysis product.

On the grounds of our long experience with fusicoccin and its derivatives [11], the finding of an O-acetyl group in the carbotricyclic moiety of a fusicoccin-like product obtained by hydrolysis with 0 6 N HCl at reflux for 40 hr is unexpected We repeated the hydrolysis on fusicoccin and on a minor metabolite of F amygdali which has an O-acetyl on C-12 instead of C-19 [12], and in both cases we observed on TLC the complete disappearance of the starting compound and the formation of the aglycone (2) and its tetracyclic isomer (3) Consequently, it is difficult to see how a true fusicoccin derivative could survive the conditions used by Muromtzev et al for the hydrolysis [5] At this point the structural elucidation of the new maize metabolite becomes highly desirable

Unfortunately, our attempts to isolate this metabolite failed, since we could not detect any substance related to fusicoccin in a maize cob extract. The extraction and fractionation procedures of the plant tissue were identical to those used by the Soviet authors and the methods adopted for the detection of a fusicoccin derivative were highly sensitive The latter methods relied on TLC with different solvent systems and on a specific RIA [9] which can detect fusicoccin and most of its acid hydrolysis derivatives at the picomolar level. It was already known that the fusicoccin aglycone (2) and its tetracyclic isomer (3) are recognized by the antifusicoccin antibodies [10], and in the present investigation it has been ascertained that two other derivatives arising from fusicoccin in acid media, namely de-t-pentenylfusicoccin and de-t-pentenyldideacetylfusicoccin, interact with the antibodies as efficiently as fusicoccin Thus it appears that a fusicoccin derivative of the type reported by Muromtzev et al [5] could hardly have escaped detection in our investigation As reported above our endeavour to detect fusicoccin or a fusicoccin derivative in the crude extract or in the chromatographic fractions, both before and after acid hydrolysis, failed In order to reconcile this negative result with those of the Soviet authors it is necessary to postulate that the fusicoccin-like compound is not present in all varieties of maize, a situation which anyhow strongly limits the physiological role of the compound In this context it is noteworthy that compounds responding to fusicoccin antibodies are absent in extracts of almond leaves, peach fruits and maize roots (unpublished results)

EXPERIMENTAL

Silica gel 60 (0 05–0 2 mm) was used for CC TLC was performed with silica gel F₂₅₄ precoated plates (0 25-mm layer) and the following solvent systems CHCl₃–iso-PrOH (9 1), C₆H₆–iso-PrOH (9 1), EtOAc The plates were sprayed with 10% H₂SO₄ in MeOH and then heated at 110° for 5 min Radioimmunoassays (RIA) were carried out according to ref [9]

Extraction and fractionation Zea mays L, variety XL 342 (Italian Dekalb, Mestre, Italy), was grown in the field and cobs were collected in the milky stage of ripening in July after 5 months from seed The cobs (1 kg) were first cut into small pieces

and then homogenized with 500 ml $\rm H_2O$ in a blender. The thick suspension was macerated at room temp for 2 hr with $\rm Me_2CO$ (11), filtered, the solids extracted again at room temp with $\rm Me_2CO$ (11) and filtered. The organic solvent was removed from the pooled filtrates under red pres and the aq layer extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 200 ml). Evaporation under red pres of the dried ($\rm Na_2SO_4$) CHCl₃ extract gave a greenish residue (2.7 g) which was dissolved in $\rm C_6H_6$ —petrol (1.1) and adsorbed on a silica gel column (2.5 × 80 cm) packed in the same solvent mixture. Stepwise elution was performed as in [5] with the following solvents $\rm C_6H_6$ —petrol (1.1), $\rm C_6H_6$, $\rm C_6H_6$ —CHCl₃ (1.1), CHCl₃—iso-PrOH (9.1), EtOAc, EtOH. One litre of each solvent was passed through the column, except for CHCl₃ (1.71). The fractions were evapd under red pres to afford only residues. The wt recovered was 2.44 g (90.5%) yield)

Hydrolysis of the fractions Each fraction was refluxed 40 hr with 06 N HCl in MeOH After cooling the solution was neutralized with 4 N NaOH, the solvent evapd under red pres and the residue extracted 3 times with EtOAc The pooled extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄), evapd under red pres, and analysed by TLC with three solvent systems in parallel with appropriate reference compounds Neither fusicoccin nor any acid hydrolysis derivatives were detectable, β -sitosterol was present in the fractions eluted with CHCl₃, CHCl₃-iso-PrOH, EtOAc and EtOH The same procedure was used for the analysis of the fractions supplemented with fusicoccin

Acknowledgements—This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Education (Rome), and in part by the Italian National Research Council (CNR), special ad hoc programme 'Chimica fine e secondaria', subproject Ca.

REFERENCES

- 1 Marrè, E (1979) Ann Rev Plant Physiol 30, 273
- 2 Ballio, A, Federico, R and Scalorbi, D (1977) Rend Accad Naz Lincei 63, 604
- 3 Aducci, P, Ballio, A, Federico, R and Montesano, L (1982) in *Plant Growth Substances* (Wareing, P F, ed) p 395 Academic Press, London
- 4 Aducci, P, Crosetti, G, Federico, R and Ballio, A (1980) Planta 148, 208
- 5 Muromtzev, G S, Kobrina, N S, Voblikova, V D and Koreneva, Y M (1980) Izv Akad Nauk SSSR, Ser Biol 2, 897
- 6 Ballio, A, D'Alessio, V, Randazzo, G, Bottalico, A, Graniti, A, Sparapano, L, Bosnar, B, Casinovi, C G and Gribanovski-Sassu, O (1976) Physiol Plant Pathol 8, 163
- 7 Aducci, P, Ballio, A, Federico, R, Evidente, A, Iasiello, I and Randazzo, G (1983) Phytopath Med 22, 95
- 8 Casinovi, C G, Santurbano, B, Conti, G, Malorni, A and Randazzo, G (1974) Gazz Chim Ital 104, 679
- 9 Federico, R, Aducci, P, Marra, M and Pini, C (1981) Z Pflanzenphysiol 104, 275
- 10 Pini, C, Vicari, G, Ballio, A, Federico, R, Evidente, A and Randazzo, G (1979) Plant Sci Letters 16, 343
- 11 Ballio, A (1981) in Toxins in Plant Disease (Durbin, R. D., ed.) p. 395 Academic Press, New York
- 12 Ballio, A, Casinovi, C G, Framondino, M, Grandolini, G, Randazzo, G and Rossi, C (1972) Experientia 28, 1150